



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/926,218	01/28/2002	Arne Holmgren	P21480 8453	
7055 7.	590 05/25/2004		EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			KUMAR, SHAILENDRA	
RESTON, VA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			1621	
			DATE MAILED: 05/25/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/926,218	HOLMGREN ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SHAILENDRA - KUMAR	1621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appl (1) a timely filed amendment wh	ication. A proper re nich places the appli	ply to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 4_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in to than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 ension and the corresponding amount of the	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION.  .136(a) and the appropriate ex	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under			
<ul> <li>(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar</li> </ul>			, may reduce any			
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cand	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ms.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	ection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	lld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	Y to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	· · ·	· —	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	rs:		•			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-25</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) a	oproved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	0			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	nent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	•				

SHAILENDRA - KUMAR Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1621

10. Other: See Continuation Sheet

Continuation of 10. Other: Claims 13-25 stand rejected as anticiptaed under 35 USC 102(b) over Arteel and obvious under 35 USC 103 over combined tecahings of Arteel and Muller et al for the reasons of record as set forth dated 12/31/03 and 3/10/03. It is clear that ebselene is a substrate for the thioredoxin reductase, and the function of the reductase to reduce the substrate.